

MOV 29 2012

James L. Burke, Esq. Quarles & Brady, LLP One Renaissance Square Two North Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85004-2391

> RE: MUR 6465 Natalie Wisneski

Dear Mr. Burke:

On December 13, 2011, the Federal Election Commission found reason to believe that Natalie Wisneski knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a) and 441f. On November 8, 2012, the Commission determined to enter into negotiations directed towards reaching a conciliation agreement in settlement of this matter prior to a finding of probable cause to believe.

In order to expedite the resolution of this matter, the Commission has authorized the Office of the General Counsel to enter into negotiations directed towards reaching a conciliation agreement in settlement of this matter prior to a finding of probable cause to believe. Preprobable cause conciliation is not mandated by the Act or the Commission's regulations, but is a voluntary step in the enforcement process that the Commission is offering to your client as a way to resolve this matter at an early stage and without the need for briefing the issue of whether or not the Commission should find probable cause to believe that your client violated the law.

In the meantime, this matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. §§ 437g(a)(4)(B) and 437g(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter to be made public. You may submit a written request for relevant information gathered by the Commission in the course of its investigation of this matter. See Agency Procedure for Disclosure of Documents and Information in the Enforcement Process, 76 Fed. Reg. 34986 (June 15, 2011). If you have any questions or suggestions for changes in the agreement, or if you wish to arrange a meeting in connection with a mutually satisfactory conciliation agreement, please contact me at (202) 694-1650.

We look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Marianne Abely

Marianne Bles by Pas

Attorney